

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,440	02/13/2001	Katsue Koashi	13041.8US01	9867	
23552 7	7590 02/28/2003				
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, CC	THOMAS, COURTNEY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
,			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/782,440	KOASHI, KATSUE
' Offic	c Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Courtney Thomas	2882
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w - Any reply receive	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT e may be available under the provisions of 37 NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated sply specified above is less than thirty (30) day eply is specified above, the maximum statutory ithin the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	in adjustment. Gee 57 Of K 1.704(b).		
1)⊠ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>13 February 2001</u> .	
2a)∏ This ac	etion is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
	in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matt under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s)) $1-7$ is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of th	e above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s)) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Pape			
•	cification is objected to by the Exa	<u></u>	
, —		is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	•
		n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	
		is: a) approved b) dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
• •	ved, corrected drawings are required	• •	
,	or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.	
•	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	r	440(-) (-1) (5)
	_	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	Some * c) None of:		
_	ertified copies of the priority docu		r e N
_		uments have been received in Ap	·
_	application from the Internation	e priority documents have been r nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not re	-
14) Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 🗌 The	translation of the foreign language	ge provisional application has be omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	en received.
Attachment(s)			
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is written in more than one paragraph and exceeds the 150-word limit as specified above. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 5. Claim 1 claims a method of (performing) spectral analysis utilizing two-dimensional representation, however, it is unclear what the method steps consist of, since the claim is written in a descriptive form, including conventional steps of spectral analysis. Additionally, Examiner notes the processing of a two dimensional plot with a specific component band removed (p. 46, lines 22-25) wherein several means can be utilized to achieve the desired output, however, it appears as though the descriptions run on into additional conceptions, and it is unclear what

Art Unit: 2882

applicant considers being the completion of a "step" and the beginning of a subsequent process. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,708. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because U.S. Patent No. 6,154,708 claims a method of processing spectral data in two-dimensional representation except for the step of producing a two dimensional derivative plot with a specific component removed. It would have been obvious to modify the claimed method of U.S. Patent 6,154,708, such that it incorporated the step of producing a two-dimensional derivative plot with a specific component removed. One would have been motivated to make such a modification so that the resulting plot reveals identifiable characteristics of the analyzed object by the removal of underlying components contained within the plot. Additionally, component removal is also recognized as a technique utilized for curve smoothing and noise reduction.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,440

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Courtney Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 306-0473.

The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am - 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305 3492. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

Courtney Thomas

February 19, 2003

Page 4